RESPONSE UEXPEDITED P

RESPONSE U DER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 262/ "

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/771,557

Applicants: Yasuo NOMURA, et al.

Docket No.: 202489US6

Filing Date: January 30, 2001

For: INFORMATION PROCESSING APPARATUS AND

METHOD AS WELL AS PROGRAM STORAGE

MEDIUM

Group Art Unit: 2621 Examiner: VENT, J.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

## PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 TO ACCOMPANY RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Our check in the amount of - is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Scott A. McKeown

Registration No. 42,866

Customer Number 22850 (703) 413-3000 (phone)

(703) 413-2220 (fax)



RESPONSE LA SER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINO ROUP 262/ "

**DOCKET NO: 202489US6** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

YASUO NOMURA, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: VENT, J.

SERIAL NO: 09/771,557

•

FILED: JANUARY 30, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2621

FOR: INFORMATION PROCESSING

APPARATUS AND METHOD AS WELL AS PROGRAM STORAGE

**MEDIUM** 

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated October 19, 2006, please consider the following remarks:

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.